REMARKS

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. Claims 3, 10-16, 18 and 20 are currently withdrawn from consideration. No amendment to the claims is made herein.

Claims 10-16, 18, 19 and 20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention. (Office action paragraphs no. 2-3).

In the response dated December 19, 2003, Applicants elected group I, claims 1-9, 17 and 19, without traverse, as the Examiner confirms in paragraph no. 1. Therefore, claims 10-16, 18 and 20 should be withdrawn, as indicated on the Office action summary page. Applicants therefore assume that the Examiner's listing here of claim 19 as withdrawn is a typographical error. Applicants further note that claim 19 is rejected in paragraph no. 12 of the Office action.

Applicants also refer the Examiner to the clarification of the species elected as component (A), in the comments below in regard to paragraph no. 5 of the Office action.

Claims 4, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. (Office action paragraph no. 5)

The rejection of claims 4, 6 and 7 is respectfully traversed.

The Examiner states that the wording in claim 4 "recites A-1 and A absolutely identically,

and then [provides] for reaction of A-1 with A-2 to obtain a compound that has already been defined

as A-1". The issue is apparently the same for claim 6.

Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner is incorrect regarding the recitations of

claims 4 and 6. Component (A) is "an anhydropolyamino acid having at least one ethylenically

unsaturated double bond in a molecule". Component (A-1) is "an anhydropolyamino acid having

no ethylenically unsaturated double bond in a molecule." Therefore, component (A-1) cannot be

the same as component (A). Moreover, Applicants submit that the claims do not recite that (A-1)

and (A-2) react to produce compound (A-1), which the Examiner has implied. "Anhydropolyamino

acid having at least one ethylenically unsaturated double bond in a molecule (A)" is a reaction

product of components (A-1) and (A-2).

To clarify the classification of (A), (A-l), (A-2), and (B), please refer to the following Table

1.

-3-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/088,107 Response dated June 2, 2004 Reply to OA of March 5, 2004

2-acrylamide-2-methylpropanesulfonic acid 2-methacryloyloxyethyl isocyanate Na sulfomethyl methacrylate glycidyl methacrylate Examples 7 and 8 polysuccinimide In the Examples Examples 1-8 Examples 1-5 Examples 6-8 Examples 1-5 acrylic acid Example 6 glycidyl methacrylate, glycidyl acrylate, acrylic 2-sulfopropyl (meth)acrylate, 2-sulfopropy butyl methacrylamide-2- methylpropanesulfonic acid, ethylenically unsaturated double acid, methacrylic acid, 2-methacryloyloxyethyl (meth)acrylate, N-methylol (meth)acrylamide, acrylamide-2- methylpropanesulfonic acid, 2diethylaminethyl (meth)acrylate, maleic acid, bond a functional group having | isocyanate, and 2-isocyanatomethyl acrylate fumaric acid, 2-sulfoethyl (meth)acrylate, 2meth)acrylate and its alkali metal salt, etc. a water-soluble monomer having an | (meth)acrylic acid and its alkali metal salt, double | ammonium salt, (meth)acrylamide, N,Ndimethylacrylamide, 2-hydroxyethyl anhydrides of polyglutamic acid anhydrides of polyaspartic acid In the specification no ethylenically unsaturated double | (polysuccinimide) a compound which has an an anhydropolyamino acid having the an anhydropolyamino acid having at least one ethylenically unsaturated ethylenically unsaturated double bond in a molecule anhydropolyamino acid bond in a molecule In the claim reactivity (A-1) (A-2) $\overline{\mathbb{A}}$ <u>@</u>

Table 1.

With regard to claim 7, the Examiner states that in claim 7, component (A-1) is

polysuccinimide, but in the election of species, Applicants listed polysuccinimide as component (A).

The Examiner is correct in this regard. However, Applicants submit that this is not an issue of

indefiniteness in claim 7, but rather an issue with regard to which species was elected. Applicants

therefore submit that claim 7 is not indefinite.

That is, Applicants incorrectly elected polysuccinimide as component (A), in that

polysuccinimide is not in itself component (A). "Polysuccinimide" is not a compound having an

ethylenically unsaturated double bond in a molecule and it cannot be "(A) anhydropolyamino acid

having at least one ethylenically unsaturated double bond in a molecule". Polysuccinimide is an example

of component (A-l). Applicants were attempting to elect the reaction products of polysuccinimide as

component (A). However, the election did call for the election of a single chemical species as component

(A). Applicants apologize for their misunderstanding of the election requirement, and here clarify the

species (A) to be elected to be (A) an anhydropolyamino acid having at least one ethylenically

unsaturated double bond in a molecule obtained by reaction of (A-1) polysuccinimide and (A-2)

2-methacryloyloxyethyl isocyanate. This election is based on Example 1 from page 23, line 29, to

page 24, line 19.

Again, Applicants submit that this is not an issue of indefiniteness in the claims, and

reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

-5-

Claims 1, 2, 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by

Freeman et al. (U.S. Patent 5,531,934) or Hann et al. (U.S. Patent 5,568,464). (Office action

paragraph no. 7)

The rejection of claims 1, 2, 4-6, and 8 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is respectfully traversed and

reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

Regarding Freeman et al. '934

Freeman discloses "homopolymers of amino acids, comprise a reaction product of amino

acids, amic acids, ammonium salts of monoethylenically unsaturated dicarboxylic acids and optional

additional monomers". The homopolymers of amino acids may be included in (A-1) or (A) in the

present invention. Examples 19-20 of Freeman were prepared from the reaction of maleic anhydride

and ammonia to form polysuccinimide. Examples 21-28 of Freeman were prepared from the reaction

of aspartic acid and othophosphoric acid to form polysuccinimide. These may overlap with (A-1) of the

present invention, but not with component (A).

Optional additional monomers, which are exemplified as acrylic acid and methacrylic acid, may

be reacted with the above compounds to form a compound which overlaps with an anhydropolyamino

acid (A) of the present invention.

Freeman discloses a method of inhibiting corrosion by a detergent including poly(amino acids)

having a saturated or ethylenically unsaturated double bond.

However, the present invention discloses a water absorbent material including a copolymer

obtained by the radical polymerization of an anhydropolyamino acid having at least one ethylenically

-6-

unsaturated double bond in a molecule (A) which is a reaction product of an anhydropolyamino acid

having no ethylenically unsaturated double bond in a molecule (A-l) and a compound which has an

ethylenically unsaturated double bond and a functional group having reactivity with the

anhydropolyamino acid in a molecule (A-2), and furthermore has an ethylenically unsaturated double

bond (B).

Applicants submit that Freeman et al. does not disclose a copolymer of component (A) with

a monomer having an ethylenically unsaturated double bond (B). Applicants therefore submit that

claim 1 is not anticipated by the reference.

Regarding Hann et al. '464

The reference discloses poly(amino acids) that are a reaction product formed from at least

one compound selected from amino acids, amic acids, ammonium salts of monoethylenically

unsaturated dicarboxylic acids, and ammonium salts of hydroxypolycarboxylic acids. The general

disclosure in columns 4-5 of Hann et al. regarding the poly(amino acids) is essentially the same as

that in columns 4-5 of Freeman et al.

Therefore, Hann et al. also fails to disclose the copolymer of (A) and (B) as in claim 1.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8 are therefore not anticipated by Freeman et al. '934 or Hann et al.

'464.

-7-

Claims 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by or, in the

alternative, under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as obvious over Freeman. (Office action paragraph no. 11)

The rejection of claims 7 and 9 over Freeman et al. '934 is respectfully traversed and

reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

The Examiner refers to polyaspartic acid as the preferred poly(amino acid). The Examiner

apparently considers polyaspartic acid to be a modified version of the polysuccinimide recited in

claim 7.

However, this does not represent a disclosure in Freeman et al. of an anhydropolyamino

acid having at least one ethylenically unsaturated double bond (i.e., component (A)), or a

disclosure of a further reaction with a water-soluble monomer having an ethylenically unsaturated

double bond (component (B)) to form a copolymer, as required by claim 1.

Applicants have also argued above in regard to paragraph no. 7 of the Office action that there

is no disclosure in Freeman et al. of a copolymer corresponding to the copolymer of components (A)

and (B) of the present claims. Applicants again note that compound (A-1) in claim 7 is

polysuccinimide, but that polysuccinimide itself does not have an ethylenically unsaturated double

bond. Claim 4 recites that molecule (A) is a reaction product of components (A-1) and (A-2).

That is, claim 7 of the present invention recites a water absorbent material comprising a

copolymer of component (A) which is a made from components (A-1) and (A-2), and component (B).

-8-

Applicants submit that there is no suggestion in Freeman et al. for the product recited in

claim 1 or in any of the claims dependent from claim 1. Accordingly, claims 7 and 9 are novel and

non-obvious over Freeman et al.

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over

Freeman in view of JP 10-025984 (Note: 10-025984 is the Japanese application number. The

publication no. is 11-060729). (Office action paragraph no. 12)

The rejection of claims 17 and 19 is respectfully traversed, and reconsideration of the

rejection is respectfully requested.

Claim 17 recites a article comprising a water absorbent material that is a copolymer of

components (A) and (B), with components (A) and (B) recited in the same manner as in claim 1.

Applicants have argued in regard to paragraph no. 7 of the Office action that Freeman et al. does not

disclose any component corresponding to the copolymer of (A) and (B).

JP '984 discloses a cross-linked polyamino acid polymer. However, the abstract of the

reference does not disclose or suggest the chemical limitations of claim 17.

Claim 19 depends from claim 17, and the above arguments are also applicable to claim 19.

Applicants therefore submit that claims 17 and 19 are novel and non-obvious over Freeman

et al. and JP 10-025984, taken separately or in combination.

-9-

U.S. Patent Application Serial No. 10/088,107 Response dated June 2, 2004 Reply to OA of March 5, 2004

If, for any reason, it is felt that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact Applicants undersigned attorney at the telephone number indicated below to arrange for an interview to expedite the disposition of this case.

In the event that this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate extension of time. Please charge any fees for such an extension of time and any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper, to Deposit Account No. 01-2340.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS,

HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Damel A. Geselowitz, Pr Agent for Applicants

Reg. No. 42,573

DAG/xl Atty. Docket No. **020307** Suite 1000 1725 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930

23850
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Q:\FLOATERS\DAG\020\020307\Response re 1st OA of 3-5-04